

(Correction)

p.5 ↓ 2 $G_\beta f \in \mathcal{D}(L^{(\alpha_0)}) \Rightarrow \beta f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$

p.5 ↓ 5 Yoshida theorem $\Rightarrow$ Yosida theorem

p.11 ↓ 12 ~ 11 Delete "Note that $G_\alpha(dx dy) \cdots \cdots m \otimes m$ measure.

p.15 ↓ 1

if there exists a strictly positive function $g \in L^1(X; m)$ such that
 $\widehat{G}g < \infty$ m -a.e.
 $\Rightarrow$ if $\widehat{G}g < \infty$ m -a.e. for any $g \in L^1(X; m)$.

p.15 ↓ 1 of Lemma 1.3.5

g be a strictly positive function of $L^1(X; m) \cap L^\infty(X; m)$.
 $\Rightarrow g$ be a non-negative function of $L^1(X; m) \cap L^\infty(X; m)$ such that
 $m(\{x : g(x) > 0\}) > 0$.

p.15 ↑ 4

$G^g g \leq 1$ m -a.e. and $(\mathcal{E}^g, \mathcal{F})$ $(\mathcal{E}^g, \mathcal{F})$ is transient.
 $\Rightarrow G^g g \leq 1$ m -a.e. and in particular if $g > 0$ then $(\mathcal{E}^g, \mathcal{F})$ is transient.

p.17 ↑ 16

This implies the transience of $(\mathcal{E}^g, \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow$ If $g > 0$, this implies the transience of $(\mathcal{E}^g, \mathcal{F})$

p.17 ↓ 4 ~ 5 $\left(\frac{\gamma-\beta}{\beta+g} K_1^\gamma\right) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\gamma-\beta}{\gamma+g} K_1^\gamma\right)$

p.17 ↑ 13 Delete "If $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is recurrent, $\cdots \cdots$ for any $\alpha \geq \|g\|_\infty \Rightarrow$ "

p.17 ↑ 6

Hence the recurrence of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ yields that $G^g g = 1$ a.e.
 $\Rightarrow$ If $g > 0$, then the recurrence of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ yields that $G^g g = 1$ a.e. If
 $g \geq 0$ a.e. and $\int_X g dm > 0$, take a function $h \in L^1(X; m)$ such that

$0 < h \leq 1$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $G^{g+\epsilon h}(g + \epsilon h) = 1$. If it holds that $G^g f \geq G^{g+\epsilon h} f$ for any $f \in L_+^1$, then it follows that

$$G^g(g + \epsilon h) \geq G^{g+\epsilon h}(g + \epsilon h) = 1.$$

Since $G^g g \leq 1$, $\mathcal{E}^g$ is not recurrent and hence transient by Theorem 1.3.7, $G^g h < \infty$. Hence by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, it holds that $G^g g \geq 1$ and hence $G^g g = 1$ a.e. To show the inequality $G^g f \geq G^{g+\epsilon h} f$, take any function $u \in L_+^2$. By using the co-resolvent $\widehat{G}_\alpha^g$ of G_α^g ,

$$\begin{aligned} (G_\alpha^g f, u) &= (f, \widehat{G}_\alpha^g u) = \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{g+\epsilon h}(G_\alpha^{g+\epsilon h} f, \widehat{G}_\alpha^g u) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_\alpha(G_\alpha^{g+\epsilon h} f, \widehat{G}_\alpha^g u) + (G_\alpha^{g+\epsilon h} f, \widehat{G}_\alpha^g u)_{(g+\epsilon h) \cdot m} \\ &\geq \mathcal{E}_\alpha(G_\alpha^{g+\epsilon h} f, \widehat{G}_\alpha^g u) = (G_\alpha^{g+\epsilon h} f, u) \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired inequality.

p.20.

In the definition of α -coexcessiveness, remove the condition of non-negativity. (Under this condition, the non-negativity of α -coexcessive function follows: see [Lemma 2.1.6]).

p.20 Theorem 1.4.1

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } \dots\dots \text{ (resp. } \widehat{u} \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_\alpha(v, \widehat{u}) \geq 0) \\ \Rightarrow \dots\dots \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{E}_\alpha(v, \widehat{u}) \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

p.25 $\downarrow$ 1 Lemma 1.4.4

If $\alpha + \delta > \alpha_0 \Rightarrow$ Lemma 1.4.4 If $\widehat{h}_\delta \in L^1(X; m)$ and $\alpha + \delta > \alpha_0$

p.26 $\uparrow$ 2

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{A}(u_n - u, u_n - u)| \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{A}^{(\delta)}(u_n - u, u_n - u)|$$

p.27 $\downarrow$ 3 $\sim$ 4 0-coexcessive function de $\widehat{h}_0$, define an extended form

$\Rightarrow$ positive 0-coexcessive function de $\widehat{h}_0$, define $\widehat{m} = \widehat{h}_0 \cdot m$ and

p.27 $\downarrow$ 7 Insert the following statement.

In the proof of the following theorem, we use the existence of positive function g satisfying $\|Gg\|_\infty < \infty$. Such function exists because, for a bounded positive function $f \in L^1(X; m)$, take an increasing sets $\{B_n\}$ such that $m(B_n) < \infty$, $\cup_n B_n = X$ a.e. and $Gf \leq n$ on F_n . Put $f_n = f \cdot 1_{B_n}$. Then $Gf_n \leq n$ as will be seen in section 2.4. Then it is enough to put $g = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (1/n2^n) f_n$.

p.27 $\downarrow$ 9 $(|f|, G|f|) < \infty \Rightarrow (|f|, G|f|)_{\widehat{m}} < \infty$

p.28 ↓ 13

$$K_1(K_2\|v\|_\infty + \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}^{(\delta)}(v, v)^{1/2}) \Rightarrow K_1(K_2\|v\|_\infty + \mathcal{A}^{(\delta)}(v, v)^{1/2})$$

p.29 ↑ 5

Hence, $\{\beta G^{(\delta)}u\}$ is uniformly ... $\Rightarrow$ Hence, $\{\beta G_\beta^{(\delta)}u\}$ is uniformly ...

p.31 ↑ 7, 6

$$2 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\uparrow 4 \text{ for } \delta_0 = 1 - 2\epsilon \text{ and } \delta_1 = 2k_1/\epsilon \Rightarrow \delta_0 = 1 - \epsilon/2 \text{ and } \delta_1 = k_1/2\epsilon$$

p.33 ↓ 5

($\mathcal{E}.4$). For any non-negative $\Rightarrow$ ($\mathcal{E}.4$). Since $\mathcal{Q}^c$ satisfies the sub-Markov property (see [55; Example 1.2.1]), $\mathcal{Q}^c(u \wedge a, u - u \wedge a) \geq 0$. Hence, for any non-negative

$$\text{p.33 } \downarrow 11 \quad \mathcal{E}(u - u \wedge a, u \wedge a) = \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(u - u \wedge a, u \wedge a) \geq$$

p.37 ↑ 3

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \Rightarrow \sqrt{2}$$

p.38 ↑ 1

$$\leq \|u\|_{lip}^2 \int_{y \neq x} \cdots \leq \|u\|_{lip}^2 c_3 \Rightarrow \leq (\|u\|_{lip}^2 \vee (4\|u\|_\infty^2) \int_{y \neq x} \cdots \leq (\|u\|_{lip}^2 \vee (4\|u\|_\infty^2) c_3$$

p.39 ↓ 3

$$\leq 2\|u\|_\infty^2 \int \cdots \leq 2c_1\|u\|_\infty^2 \Rightarrow \leq 4\|u\|_\infty^2 \int \cdots \leq 4c_1\|u\|_\infty^2$$

p.39 ↓ 9

$$\sqrt{2C}\|u\|_\infty\|u\|_{lip}\sqrt{c_2c_3} \Rightarrow 2\sqrt{C}\|u\|_\infty\|u\|_{lip}\sqrt{c_2c_3}$$

p.42 ↓ 6

$$\int \int_{\{|x-y| \neq 1/n\}} \Rightarrow \int \int_{\{|x-y| > 1/n\}}$$

p.44. Lemma 2.1.1

In the statement of (i), remove the assertion $0 \leq \widehat{e}_A^\alpha$.

p.44 ↑ 8

Suppose that $A \subset B$ are open. $\Rightarrow$ Suppose that $A \subset B$.

p.45. After (2.1.8), insert the following statement:

For a strictly positive α -coexcessive function $\widehat{h}$, let

$$\mathcal{L}_A^{\widehat{h}} = \{u \in \mathcal{F} : u \geq \widehat{h} \text{ a.e. on } A\}$$

and $\widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, \widehat{h}} = \widehat{\pi}_{\mathcal{L}_A^{\widehat{h}}}^\alpha(0)$. In particular, for a fixed open set O and its open subset A , put $\mathcal{L}_A^O = \mathcal{L}_A^{\widehat{h}}$ and $\widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O} = \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, \widehat{h}}$ for $\widehat{h} = \widehat{e}_O^\alpha$, that is $\widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}$ is the unique function of $\mathcal{L}_A^O$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(\widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}, \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}) \leq \mathcal{E}_\alpha(u, \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{L}_A^O.$$

Clearly, $\widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} \geq \widehat{e}_O^\alpha$. On the other hand, since $\widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} \wedge \widehat{e}_O^\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_O^O$, $\mathcal{E}(\widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} - \widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} \wedge \widehat{e}_O^\alpha, \widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O}) \leq 0$. On the other hand, since $\widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} \wedge \widehat{e}_O^\alpha$ is α -coexcessive, $\mathcal{E}(\widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} - \widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} \wedge \widehat{e}_O^\alpha, \widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} \wedge \widehat{e}_O^\alpha) \geq 0$. Hence

$$\mathcal{E}(\widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} - \widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} \wedge \widehat{e}_O^\alpha, \widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} - \widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} \wedge \widehat{e}_O^\alpha) \leq 0$$

which implies $\widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O} = \widehat{e}_O^\alpha$. Assume that $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_O \neq \emptyset$. For an open subset A of O , define the α -capacity $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(A)$ relative to O , by

$$\text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(A) = \mathcal{E}_\alpha(e_A^\alpha, \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}).$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_A^O \subset \mathcal{L}_A$, $\mathcal{E}_\alpha(\widehat{e}_A^\alpha \wedge \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}, \widehat{e}_A^\alpha) \geq \mathcal{E}_\alpha(\widehat{e}_A^\alpha, \widehat{e}_A^\alpha)$. Furthermore, since $\widehat{e}_A^\alpha \wedge \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}$ is α -coexcessive, $\mathcal{E}_\alpha(\widehat{e}_A^\alpha - \widehat{e}_A^\alpha \wedge \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}, \widehat{e}_A^\alpha \wedge \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}) \geq 0$. Hence

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(\widehat{e}_A^\alpha - \widehat{e}_A^\alpha \wedge \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}, \widehat{e}_A^\alpha - \widehat{e}_A^\alpha \wedge \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}) \leq 0$$

which implies that $\widehat{e}_A^\alpha = \widehat{e}_A^\alpha \wedge \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O} \leq \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}$. Similarly, by noting that $\widehat{e}_O^\alpha = \widehat{e}_O^{\alpha, O}$, it holds that $\widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O} = \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O} \wedge \widehat{e}_O^\alpha$ and hence $\widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O} = \widehat{e}_O^\alpha$ a.e. on A . Therefore, by (2.1.7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\alpha(e_A^\alpha, e_A^\alpha) &\leq \mathcal{E}_\alpha(e_A^\alpha, \widehat{e}_A^\alpha) \leq \mathcal{E}_\alpha(e_A^\alpha, \widehat{e}_A^{\alpha, O}) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_\alpha(e_A^\alpha, \widehat{e}_O^\alpha) \leq C(O) \mathcal{E}_\alpha(e_A^\alpha, e_A^\alpha)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for $C(O) = K_\alpha \mathcal{E}_\alpha(\widehat{e}_O^\alpha, \widehat{e}_O^\alpha)^{1/2}$. In particular, by (2.1.8),

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(e_A^\alpha, e_A^\alpha) \leq \text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}(A) \leq \text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(A) \leq C(O) \text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}(A)^{1/2}.$$

p.45. Add the following statement in Lemma 2.1.2:

For any open set O such that $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}(O) < \infty$ and its subsets A, B, A_n , the results of (i), (ii), (iii) also hold for $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}$ instead of $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}$. Furthermore, $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}$ is strongly subadditive, that is it satisfies (iv) $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(A \cup B) + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(A \cap B) \leq \text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(A) + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(B)$.

(proof of (iv)) Since $\widehat{e}_C^{\alpha, O} = \widehat{e}_O^\alpha$ on C for any open subset C of O ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(A) + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(B) - \text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(A \cup B) - \text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(A \cap B) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_\alpha(e_A^\alpha + e_B^\alpha - e_{A \cup B}^\alpha - e_{A \cap B}^\alpha, \widehat{e}_{A \cup B}^{\alpha, O}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $e_A^\alpha + e_B^\alpha - e_{A \cup B}^\alpha - e_{A \cap B}^\alpha \geq 0$ on $A \cup B$, the last term is non-negative.

p.46. After (2.1.9), insert the following sentence:

Define $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(B)$ for any set B similarly to (2.1.9).

p.46. In Theorem 2.1 (ii), replace

$\text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}(\cup_n A_n) = \sup_n \text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}(A_n) \Rightarrow \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_n A_n) = \sup_n \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_n)$
for any fixed open set O satisfying $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}(O) < \infty$ and $\cup_n A_n \subset O$.

(Furthermore, add the following statement (iii))

(iii) $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}$ is a Choquet capacity on the subsets of O . In particular, any Borel subset of O is approximated from above and below by open set and compact set respectively relative to $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}$ and hence relative to $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}$.

(proof of (ii))

For any $\epsilon > 0$ and n , take an open set B_n satisfying $A_n \subset B_n \subset O$ and $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(B_n) \leq \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_n) + \epsilon/2^n$. Noting that $\cup_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k \cap B_n \supset A_{n-1}$, we have by Lemma 2.1.2 (iv),

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^n B_k) \\ & \leq \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k) + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(B_n) - \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k \cap B_n) \\ & \leq \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k) + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_n) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} - \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k \cap B_n) \\ & \leq \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k) + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_n) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} - \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

By applying this inequality to the first term of the righthand side and repeating the argument, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^n B_k) \\ & \leq \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^{n-2} B_k) + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_{n-1}) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n-1}} - \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_{n-2}) \\ & \quad + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_n) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} - \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_{n-1}) \\ & = \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^{n-2} B_k) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} - \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_{n-2}) + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_n) \\ & \leq \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(B_1) + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} - \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_1) + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_n) \\ & \leq \epsilon(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}) + \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) &\leq \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(\cup_{k=1}^n B_k) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}^{(\alpha),O}(A_n) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(Proof of (iii))

(iii) follows from [FOT, Appendix A.1].

p.48 $\downarrow 2 \sim 3$

$\bar{e}_A^\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_A$. It holds that $\Rightarrow \bar{e}_A^\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$. It holds for $\alpha > \alpha_0$ that

p.48 $\downarrow 9$

Hence $G_{\alpha+\beta}u - pU_{p,g}^\alpha G_{\alpha+\beta}u + \beta G_\alpha^{pg} G_{\alpha+\beta}u = G_\alpha^{pg}u$.

Hence $\Rightarrow G_{\alpha+\beta}u - pU_{p,g}^\alpha G_{\alpha+\beta}u + \beta G_\alpha^{pg} G_{\alpha+\beta}u = G_\alpha^{pg}u$ for $\alpha > \alpha_0$. Since G_α and G_α^{pg} on $L^\infty(X; m)$ satisfy the resolvent equation for $\alpha > 0$, they as well as $U_{p,g}^\alpha f = G_\alpha^{pg}(gf)$ are analytic relative to $\alpha > 0$. Hence the above relation holds for any $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

p.50 Lemma 2.1.6:

If $\hat{h}$ is an integrable α -coexcessive function then, for any $\beta \geq \alpha$, $\hat{h}_A^\beta$ is an integrable β -coexcessive function satisfying

$\Rightarrow$ If $\hat{h}$ is an integrable β -coexcessive function such that $m(\{\hat{h} > 0\}) > 0$, then $\hat{h} \geq 0$ a.e. and, for any $\alpha \geq \beta$, $\hat{h}_A^\alpha$ is an integrable α -coexcessive function satisfying

p.50 $\downarrow 6 \sim 7$

Then, for any $\beta \geq \alpha, \dots$ is β -coexcessive. $\Rightarrow$ For the proof of the non-negativity of $\hat{h}$, we consider that $A \subset \{x : \hat{h}(x) > 0\}$.

p.50 Insert the following sentence after (2.1.20):

Since $\hat{h} \geq 0$ a.e. gdm , (2.1.20) implies that $\hat{h} \geq 0$.

p.50 $\uparrow 9$

β -coexcessive function. Equation $\dots$

$\Rightarrow \beta$ -coexcessive function. If $\beta \leq \alpha$, since $\gamma \hat{G}_{\gamma+\alpha} \hat{h} \leq \gamma \hat{G}_{\gamma+\beta} \hat{h} \leq \hat{h}$, $\hat{h}$ is also α -coexcessive. Hence the result shown above also holds for α

instead of β . Equation $\dots\dots$

p.50 Insert the following sentence before Theorem 2.1.7:

In the followings, we consider that any α -coexcessive function is non-negative.

p.51 After the definition of the nest, insert the following sentence:

For a nest $\{F_n\}$, using the set $O = X \setminus F_1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}(X \setminus F_n) = 0$ is equivalent to $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}(X \setminus F_n) = 0$. Hence the notion of the quasi-continuity on an open set O with $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}(O) < \infty$ relative to the capacity $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}$ is equivalent to the quasi-continuity relative to the capacity $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha), O}$.

p.53 $\downarrow$ 1 of Theorem 2.2.6

$f \in L^\infty(X; m)$ (resp. $f \in L^1(X; m)$), $G_\alpha f$ (resp. $\widehat{G}_\alpha f$) has a q.c. modification. In particular, if $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is transient, $\dots$ has a q.c. modification.

$\Rightarrow f \in L^\infty(X; m)$ such that $\{x : |f(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \subset B_\epsilon$ a.e. for some compact set B_ϵ for any ϵ (resp. $f \in L^1(X; m)$), $G_\alpha f$ (resp. $\widehat{G}_\alpha f$) has a q.c. modification. In particular, if $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is transient and $G_\alpha g$ has a q.c. modification for any $g \in L^\infty(X; m)$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then for any $f \in L^\infty(X; m)$ such that $\|Gf\|_\infty < \infty$, Gf has a q.c. modification. Furthermore $\widehat{G}f$ has a q.c. modification for any $f \in L^1(X; m)$

p.54 $\downarrow$ 1

$f \in L^\infty(X; m)$, by approximating f by functions $\{f_n\} \subset L^\infty(X; m) \cap L^2(X; m)$, in $L^\infty(X; m)$, $R_\beta f_n \dots\dots$

$\Rightarrow f \in L^\infty(X; m)$ satisfying the stated condition, by approximating f by function $f_n = f \cdot 1_{B_{1/n}}$ in $L^\infty(X; m)$, $R_\beta f_n \dots\dots$

p.54 $\downarrow$ 11

and $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0$, $\Rightarrow$ and $0 < \alpha$ or $\alpha = 0$ and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is transient,

p.54 $\downarrow$ 12

and $\beta > \alpha_0$, $u_n \equiv \widehat{G}_\alpha f \wedge (n\widehat{R}_\beta g)$ is β -excessive function $\Rightarrow$ and $\beta > \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha$, $u_n \equiv \widehat{G}_\alpha f \wedge (n\widehat{R}_\beta g)$ is β -coexcessive function

p.54 ↓ 13

q.c. modification of $\widehat{G}_\beta f \Rightarrow$ q.c. modification of $\widehat{G}_\beta g f$

p.54 ↓ 17 ~ 18

$$e_{B_k}^\alpha \leq (1/k)\widehat{u}(x) + e_{X \setminus F_k}^\alpha \Rightarrow \widehat{e}_{B_k}^\alpha \leq (1/k)\widehat{u}(x) + \widehat{e}_{X \setminus F_k}^\alpha$$

p.54 ↑ 10

and $f \in L^2(X; m) \Rightarrow$ and f belonging to the domain of the $L^2(X; m)$ -generator

p.54 ↑ 9

q.c. modification. The result $\dots \Rightarrow$ q.c. modification. Since the domain of the generator is dense in $\mathcal{F}$, the result $\dots$

p.55 ↓ 3

$$= \mathcal{E}_\alpha(\widehat{e}_B^\alpha, \widehat{e}_B^\alpha) - \epsilon \Rightarrow \geq \mathcal{E}_\alpha(\widehat{e}_B^\alpha, \widehat{e}_B^\alpha) - \epsilon$$

p.55 ↓ 9

(2.1.5) that $\Rightarrow$ (2.1.5) and Theorem 2.1.7 that

p.61 ↓ 5

we have $\mathcal{E}_\alpha(u \wedge u_A^\alpha, u_A^\alpha \wedge u - u_A^\alpha) \geq 0. \Rightarrow$ we have $\mathcal{E}_\alpha(u_A^\alpha, u_A^\alpha \wedge u - u_A^\alpha) \geq 0.$

p.68 ↑ 1

$$\beta R_{\beta+\alpha} \mu_B \leq u_B^\alpha \Rightarrow \beta U_{\beta+\alpha} \mu_B \leq u_B^\alpha$$

p.70 ↓ 8

Theorem 3.5.6 (iii) $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 3.5.7 (iii)

p.75 ↑ 15 ~ 11

$$X \setminus B \Rightarrow X_\Delta \setminus B$$

p.76 ↑ 15

$\bar{Y}_t \leq Y_t$ a.s. and $\Rightarrow \bar{Y}_t \leq Y_t$ a.s., and

p.76 ↑ 10

Doob's optimal sampling theorem $\Rightarrow$ Doob's optional sampling theorem

p.77 ↑ 16

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} R_\alpha f_n \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_\alpha f_n$$

p.78 ↑ 8

$$\leq e^{-\alpha t} \int \mu(dy) \dots \Rightarrow \leq e^{\alpha t} \int \mu(dy) \dots$$

p.83 ↑

$$\bar{\mathbb{P}}_x(\bar{X}_{t_1} \in E_1, \dots, \bar{X}_{t_n \in E_n}) \Rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{P}}_x(\bar{X}_{t_1} \in E_1, \dots, \bar{X}_{t_n} \in E_n)$$

p.85 ↓ 8 (resp. 11)

for $f \in L^2(X; m)$ (resp. for any $f \in L^\infty(X; m)$)
 $\Rightarrow$ for Borel measurable function $f \in L^2(X; m)$ (resp. for any Borel measurable function $f \in L^\infty(X; m)$)

p.86 ↓ 6

$\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_x^{(\delta)}$ -supermartingale $\Rightarrow$ is a $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_x^{(\delta)}$ -supermartingale

p.87 ↑ 7 (resp. 6)

$\int_0^\infty \dots \Rightarrow \int_0^{\widehat{\zeta}} \dots$ (resp. $\int_0^{\beta_k \widehat{\zeta}} \dots$)

p.87 ↑ 4

If $y \in F_n$, then $\Rightarrow$ If $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^{(\delta)}(\widehat{\tau}_{F_n} > 0) = 1$, then

p.87 ↑ 3

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_y^{(\delta)} \left(\int_0^{\widehat{\tau}_{F_n}} \dots \right) \Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_y^{(\delta)} \left(\int_0^{\beta_k \widehat{\tau}_{F_n}} \dots \right)$

p.87 ↑ 1

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_y^{(\delta)} \left(\int_{\widehat{\tau}_{F_n}}^\infty e^{-t} U_\alpha \mu(\widehat{X}_{t/\beta_k}) dt \right) \leq \dots = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_y^{(\delta)} \left(\int_{\beta_k \widehat{\tau}_{F_n}}^{\beta_k \widehat{\zeta}} e^{-t} U_\alpha \mu(\widehat{X}_{t/\beta_k}) dt \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

p.88 ↓ 1 ~ 3

for q.e. y and $\dots$ for all n , we obtain that
 $\Rightarrow$ for such y . Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_y^{(\delta)}(\widehat{\tau}_{F_n} < \zeta) = 0$ for a.e. y relative to μ ,
we obtain that

p.88 ↑ 11

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{h}_\delta(x) \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{(\delta)} \left(e^{\delta t \frac{1}{\widehat{h}_\delta(\widehat{X}_t)}} : \Gamma \cap \{\widehat{\zeta} < t\} \right) \\ \Rightarrow & \widehat{h}_\delta(x) \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{(\delta)} \left(e^{\delta t \frac{1}{\widehat{h}_\delta(\widehat{X}_t)}} : \Gamma \cap \{t < \widehat{\zeta}\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

p.90 ↑ 12

$$\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\widehat{m}}^{(\delta)}(\widehat{\sigma}_B < \infty) \Rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\widehat{m}}^{(\delta)}(\widehat{\sigma}_{\widehat{N}} < \infty)$$

p.90 ↑ 5

for all $k \geq i + 1 \Rightarrow$ for all $i + 1 \leq k \leq n$

p.90 ↑ 2

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}$$

p.91 $\uparrow 8 \sim 7$

$$\beta R_{\beta+\alpha} H_B^\alpha 1 = \alpha R_{\beta+\alpha} e_B^\alpha \text{ q.e. Since } \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \alpha R_{\beta+\alpha} H_B^\alpha 1(x) = \\ \Rightarrow \beta R_{\beta+\alpha} H_B^\alpha 1 = \beta R_{\beta+\alpha} e_B^\alpha \text{ q.e. Since } \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \beta R_{\beta+\alpha} H_B^\alpha 1(x) =$$

p.91 $\uparrow 2$

$$\text{such that } \text{Cap}(N) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{such that } \text{Cap}(N) = 0$$

p.93 $\uparrow 12$

$$K_\alpha(K_\alpha + 1) \Rightarrow K_\alpha(K_\alpha + 1)^{1/2}$$

p.95 $\downarrow 13$

$$\alpha\text{-coexcessive function of } \mathcal{F} \cap L^1(X; m) \Rightarrow \alpha\text{-coexcessive function } \hat{h} \text{ of } \\ \mathcal{F} \cap L^1(X; m)$$

p.96 $\downarrow 5$

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_x \left(e^{-\alpha \hat{\sigma}_B} f(X_{\hat{\sigma}_B}) \right) \Rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_x \left(e^{-\alpha \hat{\sigma}_B} f(\hat{X}_{\hat{\sigma}_B}) \right)$$

p.99 $\downarrow 2$

$$(1.4.32) \text{ in [55]} \Rightarrow (1.4.39) \text{ in [55]}$$

p.100 $\downarrow 3$

$$\text{and } R_p^G, \Rightarrow \text{and } R_\alpha^G,$$

p 100 $\uparrow 7$

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha^E(R_\alpha^G f, v) = (f, v) \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\alpha^G(R_\alpha^G f, v) = (f, v)$$

p.101 $\downarrow 5$

$$K_\alpha^2 \Rightarrow K_1^2$$

p.101 $\uparrow 8$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{X \setminus F_n} = \zeta \text{ a.s. } \mathbb{P}_x \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\sigma}_{X \setminus F_n} \geq \hat{\zeta} \text{ a.s. } \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$$

p.102 $\downarrow 3, 5$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{u}/\hat{h}_\delta} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\hat{u}/\hat{h}_\delta, B}$$

p.102 $\downarrow 4$

$$\mathcal{A}_\delta \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\alpha$$

p.102 $\downarrow 10$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{X \setminus F_n} = \zeta \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\sigma}_{X \setminus F_n} \geq \hat{\zeta}$$

p.103 $\downarrow 7$

$$(\hat{u}_\delta)_B^\alpha \leq \|\hat{u}\|_B \hat{h}_{\alpha, n} \Rightarrow (\hat{u}_\delta)_B^\alpha \leq \|\hat{u}\|_B \hat{h}_\delta$$

p.103 $\uparrow 3$

version of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}^A}^\delta(u) \Rightarrow$ version of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}^A}^\alpha(u)$

p.104 $\downarrow 5$

because $\pi_{\mathcal{F}^A}^\delta(u) \Rightarrow$ because $\pi_{\mathcal{F}^A}^\alpha(u)$

p.104 $\downarrow 9$

continuous for q.e. $\Rightarrow$ continuous on $(0, \zeta)$ for q.e.

p.105 $\uparrow 4$

$(1/\widehat{h}_\delta(x))k^{(\delta)}(dx) + \delta m(dx) \Rightarrow (1/\widehat{h}_\delta(x))k^{(\delta)}(dx) - \delta m(dx)$

p.105 $\uparrow 1$

$\int_X u(x)k^{(\delta)}(dx) + \delta \int_X \cdots \Rightarrow \int_X u(x)k^{(\delta)}(dx) - \delta \int_X \cdots$

p.106 $\downarrow 5$

$c_B(k(B) - \delta m(B)) \Rightarrow c_B(k(B) + \delta m(B))$

p.106 $\uparrow 16$

$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_i \Rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_i$

p.106 $\uparrow 10$

$k^{(\delta)} + \delta \widehat{m} \Rightarrow k^{(\delta)} - \delta \widehat{m}$

p.106 $\uparrow 8$

$\int_X \cdots k(dx) - \int_X \cdots \Rightarrow \int_X \cdots k(dx) + \int_X \cdots$

p.108 $\downarrow 4$

$\int \int_{X \times X \setminus \mathbf{d}} \cdots \Rightarrow \int \int_{X \times X \setminus \mathbf{d}} \cdots$

p.108 $\downarrow 10$

$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \beta^2 G_\beta(dxdy) = J(dxdy)$ and $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \beta^2 \widehat{G}_\beta(dxdy) = \widehat{J}(dxdy) \Rightarrow$
 $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \beta^2 G_\beta(dxdy) = 2J(dxdy)$ and $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \beta^2 \widehat{G}_\beta(dxdy) = 2\widehat{J}(dxdy)$

p.108 $\downarrow 13, 16$

$J(dxdy), \widehat{J}(dxdy) \Rightarrow 2J(dxdy), 2\widehat{J}(dxdy)$

p.108 $\uparrow 4 \sim$ p.111

$J, \widehat{J}, \widehat{J}^{(\beta)} \Rightarrow 2J, 2\widehat{J}, 2\widehat{J}^{(\beta)}$

p.109 $\downarrow 3$

$\leq K_\alpha^2 \mathcal{E}_\alpha \cdots \Rightarrow \leq K \mathcal{E}_\alpha \cdots$

p.109 $\downarrow 4$

for all $v \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{C}_0(G)$. $\Rightarrow$ for all $v \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ and $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$

p.109 $\downarrow$ 11

and $g \in C_0(X \setminus G) \Rightarrow \cdot$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}_0(X \setminus G)$

p.110 $\uparrow$ 1

$\beta^2(\widehat{R}_\beta(g\widehat{h}_\delta), f\widehat{h}_\delta R_\alpha^G \varphi) \Rightarrow \beta^2(\widehat{R}_\beta(g\widehat{h}_\delta), f R_\alpha^G \varphi)$

p.111 $\uparrow$ 10

that $\int \int_{X \times X \setminus \mathbf{d}} \Phi(x, y) \widehat{J}(dxdy) \Rightarrow$ that $\int \int_{X \times X \setminus \mathbf{d}} \Phi(x, y) J(dxdy)$

p.113 $\uparrow$ 11

$\cdots + (\beta - \delta) V_{B,A}^{\alpha,\beta} V_{A,B}^{\gamma,\delta} f(x)$
 $\Rightarrow \cdots + (\beta - \delta) V_{A,B}^{\alpha,\beta} V_{A,B}^{\gamma,\delta} f(x)$

p.119 $\downarrow$ 9

there exists a nest $\{F_n\} \Rightarrow$ there exists an increasing sequence of closed sets $\{F_n\}$

p.119 $\downarrow$ 10

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(K \setminus F_n) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}(K \setminus F_n) = 0$

p.119 $\uparrow$ 9 $\sim$ 7

since $\text{Cap}^{(\alpha)}(K \setminus \cup_n F_n) = 0$, Lemma 3.4.3 impliesimplies that
 $0 = \mu_A(F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(K \setminus F_n)$.
 $\Rightarrow$ since $\{F_n\}$ is a nest, (4.1.12) is clear.

p.122 $\uparrow$ 10

(2.4.4) we have $\Rightarrow$ (2.4.5) we have

p.125 $\downarrow$ 1, (res.4)

a (resp. the) nest $\Rightarrow$ an (resp. the) increasing sequence of closed sets

p.128 $\uparrow$ 9 $\sim$ 8

$\widehat{m}t \Rightarrow m$

p.129 $\downarrow$ 8

a nest $\Rightarrow$ an increasing sequence of closed sets

p.129 $\downarrow$ 10

$\widehat{R}_\alpha g_n \Rightarrow \widehat{R}_\alpha \widehat{g}_n$

p.129 $\downarrow$ 10 $\sim$ 11

$= n(u(x) - n\widehat{R}_{n+\alpha}u(x)) \Rightarrow = n(\widehat{u}(x) - n\widehat{R}_{n+\alpha}\widehat{u}(x))$

p.129 $\downarrow$ 15

$C = 2K_\alpha \mathcal{E}_\alpha(U_\alpha \nu, U_\alpha \nu)^{1/2} \Rightarrow C = 2K_\alpha \mathcal{E}_\alpha(\widehat{U}_\alpha \nu, \widehat{U}_\alpha \nu)^{1/2} \|\widehat{U}_{2\alpha} \nu\|_\infty$

p.131 $\uparrow 1$

$$+(\beta - \alpha)\widehat{R}_\beta\widehat{U}_A^\alpha g = 0 \Rightarrow +(\alpha - \beta)\widehat{R}_\beta\widehat{U}_A^\alpha g = 0$$

p.132 $\uparrow 15 \sim 14$

$$|p - q| < 1/\|U_A^{(\alpha)}1\|_\infty \Rightarrow |p - q| < 1/\|U_{q,A}^\alpha 1\|_\infty$$

p.132 $\uparrow 7$

$$\|\widehat{U}_\alpha(1_{F_n} \cdot \mu)\|_\infty \Rightarrow \|\widehat{U}_\alpha(1_{F_n} \cdot \mu)\|_\infty$$

p.132 $\uparrow 1$

$$\tau(t, \omega) = \{s > 0 : A_s(\omega) > t\} \Rightarrow \tau(t, \omega) = \inf\{s > 0 : A_s(\omega) > t\}$$

p.133 $\downarrow 1$

$$\mathbb{E}_x(e^{-\alpha\gamma}) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x(e^{-\alpha\gamma}) = 1$$

p.133 $\downarrow 13$

$$\text{Theorems 3.2.6 and 3.4.4} \Rightarrow \text{Theorems 3.2.6 and 3.4.5}$$

p.134 $\uparrow 1$

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{(\delta)}(e^{-\alpha\widehat{\sigma}_B}u(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{\sigma}_B})) \Rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_x^{(\delta)}(e^{-(\alpha-\delta)\widehat{\sigma}_B}u(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{\sigma}_B}))$$

p.137 $\uparrow 9 \sim 8$

$$= (w - \alpha R_\alpha^G v, w) = \dots\dots\dots \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{F}^G \Rightarrow (v - \alpha R_\alpha^G v, w) = \dots\dots\dots \\ \text{for all } w \in \mathcal{F}^G$$

p.138 $\downarrow 7$

$$K^{\frac{\alpha\sqrt{\alpha_0}}{\alpha-\alpha_0}}\mathcal{E}_{\alpha_0}(u, u)^{1/2} \Rightarrow K\|u\|^{\frac{\alpha\sqrt{\alpha_0}}{\alpha-\alpha_0}}\mathcal{E}_{\alpha_0}(u, u)^{1/2}$$

p.138 $\downarrow 10$

$$K^{\frac{\alpha\sqrt{\alpha_0}}{\alpha-\alpha_0}}\mathcal{E}_{\alpha_0}(\beta G_\beta^G u, \beta R_\beta^G u)^{1/2} \Rightarrow K^{\frac{\beta\|u\|}{\beta-\alpha_0}\frac{\alpha\sqrt{\alpha_0}}{\alpha-\alpha_0}}\mathcal{E}_{\alpha_0}(\beta G_\beta^G u, \beta R_\beta^G u)^{1/2}$$

p.139 $\downarrow 6$

$$\text{Theorem 4.3.1. } \mathcal{F}^\mu = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap L^2(X; m) \text{ and} \\ \Rightarrow \text{Theorem 4.3.1. Assume that } \mu \text{ is a positive Radon measure charging} \\ \text{no set of zero capacity. Then } \mathcal{F}^\mu = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap L^2(X; m) \text{ and}$$

p.140 $\downarrow 1$

$$\text{nest} \Rightarrow \text{increasing sequence of closed sets}$$

p.141 $\uparrow 10$

$$(\Omega, \check{\mathcal{F}}_t, Y_t, \mathbb{P}_x, \zeta) \Rightarrow (\Omega, \check{\mathcal{F}}_t, Y_t, \mathbb{P}_x, \check{\zeta})$$

p.141 $\uparrow 3$

$$(\Omega, \check{\mathcal{F}}_t, \widehat{Y}_t, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_x^{(\delta)}, \zeta) \Rightarrow (\Omega, \check{\mathcal{F}}_t, \widehat{Y}_t, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_x^{(\delta)}, \check{\zeta})$$

p.142 $\downarrow 1$

$$\text{Let } (V_\alpha^{(\delta)})_{\alpha>0} \Rightarrow \text{Let } (V_p^{(\delta)})_{p>0}$$

p.144 $\uparrow 10, 7$

$$\alpha R^{qA} U_B^\alpha g \Rightarrow V_{A,B}^{q,0} U_B^\alpha g$$

p.144 $\uparrow 6$

$$(g, \widehat{R}_\alpha \widehat{U}_A^q \widehat{h})_{\widehat{h}, \eta} \Rightarrow (g, \widehat{R}_\alpha \widehat{U}_A^q \widehat{h})_\eta$$

p.145 $\downarrow 3$

$$\int_T^{T+s} p_s u ds \Rightarrow \int_T^{T+t} p_s u ds$$

p.145 $\downarrow 13$

By irreducibility, this yields $\Rightarrow$ Since $p_t u = u$ q.e., by the irreducibility, this yields

p.146 $\downarrow 6$

$$\geq 1_{\{\tau_a=\infty\}} Z + 1_{\{\tau_a < \infty\}}. \Rightarrow \geq 1_{\{\tau_a=\infty\}} Z + 1_{\{\tau_a < \infty\}}.$$

p.148 $\downarrow 6$

$$+(H_{\bar{Y}} \tilde{u}, \widehat{H}_{\bar{Y}}^g \tilde{u})_{g,m} \Rightarrow +(H_{\bar{Y}} \tilde{u}, H_{\bar{Y}}^g \tilde{u})_{g,m}$$

p.152 $\downarrow 5$ (5.1.8)

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha_0} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\alpha$$

p.152 $\downarrow 6$

depending on $\|u\|_\infty + \dots \Rightarrow$ depending on $\alpha > \alpha_0$ and $\|u\|_\infty + \dots$

p.152 $\uparrow 14, 12$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha_0} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\alpha$$

p.155 $\downarrow 1$

nest $\{F_n\}$ of closed sets $\Rightarrow$ a sequence $\{F_n\}$ of closed sets

p.155 $\uparrow 2, 1$

$$\int_0^t \langle \mu, \widehat{p}_s \widehat{h}_\delta \rangle dt = \int_0^t e^{\delta t} \langle \mu, e^{-\delta s} \widehat{p}_s \widehat{h}_\delta \rangle ds \Rightarrow \int_0^t \langle \mu, \widehat{p}_s \widehat{h}_\delta \rangle ds = \int_0^t e^{\delta s} \langle \mu, e^{-\delta s} \widehat{p}_s \widehat{h}_\delta \rangle ds$$

p.164 $\downarrow 7$

for $\eta_n = \Rightarrow$ for $d\eta_n =$

p.164 $\uparrow 7$

$$K_7 \geq \alpha K_\beta \|v\| / (\alpha - \alpha_0) \Rightarrow K_7 \geq \alpha K_\beta \sup_n \|u_n^{(2)}\|_\infty \|v\| / (\alpha - \alpha_0)$$

p.165 $\uparrow 12$

$$\beta \mathbb{E}_{v,m} (\int_0^\infty \dots) \Rightarrow \beta^2 \mathbb{E}_{v,m} (\int_0^\infty \dots)$$

p.170 $\uparrow 4$

$$\left(\sum_{0 < s \leq t} 1_{\{\Delta\}}(X_s)u^2(X_{s-})1_{\{X_s \neq X_{s-}\}}\right)^p \Rightarrow \left(\sum_{0 < s \leq t} 1_{\{\Delta\}}(X_s)u^2(X_{s-})1_{\{X_s \neq X_{s-}\}}\right)^p$$

p.171 $\downarrow 11$

$$= 2 \int_0^t \widehat{p}_s^G w(x)u(x)v(y)J(dxdy)ds \Rightarrow = 2 \int_0^t \int_X \int_X \widehat{p}_s^G w(x)u(x)v(y)J(dxdy)ds$$

p.173 $\uparrow 1$

$$\mathcal{C}_0(X) \cap \mathcal{F}_b \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(X) \cap \mathcal{F}$$

p.175 $\uparrow 5$

As we noted after equation (5.2.5) $\Rightarrow$ By equation (3.5.21)

p.176 $\downarrow 6$

$$\mathcal{E}^b(u, v) = \dots\dots(R_\beta(dxdy) - \widehat{R}_\beta(dxdy)) \Rightarrow \text{By equation (3.5.21) } \mathcal{E}^b(u, v) = \dots\dots(R_{\beta_n}(dxdy) - \widehat{R}_{\beta_n}(dxdy))$$

p.178 $\downarrow 7$

$$K_\epsilon = d \times \sup\{\dots\dots\} \Rightarrow K_\epsilon = \sup\{\dots\dots\}$$

p.179 $\downarrow 8$

$$j^{(a)}(x, y)m(dx)m(dy) \Rightarrow j^{(a)}(x, y)m(dx)m(dy)$$

p.179 $\downarrow 9 \sim 10$

$$= |j^{(a)}(x, y)|m(dx)m(dy) \leq C|j^{(s)}(x, y)|^{1/2} \dots \Rightarrow = 2|j^{(a)}(x, y)|m(dx)m(dy) \leq 2\sqrt{C}|j^{(s)}(x, y)|^{1/2} \dots$$

p.179 $\downarrow 11$

Hence, equation (1.5.9) and (1.5.10) $\Rightarrow$ Hence, equation (1.5.8), (1.5.9) and (1.5.10)

p.179 $\downarrow 14$

$$\leq C \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \int \dots \Rightarrow \leq \sqrt{C} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \int \dots$$

p.182 $\uparrow 14 \sim 12$

$\mathcal{S}_0$ for any $k, i = 1, 2$ and $\mathcal{E}_\alpha(u, v) = (\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(2)}, \tilde{v})$, for all $v \in \mathcal{F}^{B_\ell}$ and $\alpha > \alpha_0$.
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0$ for any $i = 1, 2$ and $\mathcal{E}(u, v) = (\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(2)} + \alpha \cdot m, \tilde{v})$, for all $v \in \mathcal{F}^{B_\ell}$ and $\alpha > \alpha_0$.

p.183 $\downarrow 2$

$$\mu_\ell = 1_{B_\ell} \cdot (\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(2)}), \text{ then } \Rightarrow \mu_\ell = 1_{B_\ell} \cdot (\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(2)} + \alpha u \cdot m), \text{ then}$$

p.183 $\uparrow 9$

$$\mathbb{E}_x(e^{-\alpha\zeta}u(X_\zeta) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x(e^{-\alpha\tau}u(X_\Delta) = 0, \tau = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{B_\ell}$$

p.183 $\uparrow 4$

$$N_t^{[u]} = \dots - A_t^{[1]} + A_t^{[u]} \Rightarrow N_t^{[u]} = \dots - A_t^{[1]} + A_t^{[2]}$$

p.185 $\downarrow 7$

$$\cup_n \{x : u_n^\alpha(x) = 1\} \text{ q.e. on } G \Rightarrow \cup_n \{x : u_n^\alpha(x) = 1\} = G \text{ q.e.}$$

p.185 $\uparrow 13$

$$\tau_{F_n} \uparrow \infty \Rightarrow \tau_{F_n} \uparrow \zeta$$

p.185 $\uparrow 9$

$$u_n^\alpha = (kR_\alpha^n f) \wedge 1 \Rightarrow u_n^\alpha = (nR_\alpha^n f) \wedge 1$$

p.186 $\uparrow 12$

$$\mathcal{E}^\eta(u, v) = \mathcal{E}(u, v) + (u, v)_{\eta..} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\eta(u, v) = \mathcal{E}(u, v) + (u, v)_\eta.$$

p.188 $\uparrow 15$

$$e_v(M^{[u_n]}) = 2\mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n v) - \mathcal{E}(u_n^2, v) \Rightarrow 2e_v(M^{[u_n]}) = 2\mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n v) - \mathcal{E}(u_n^2, v)$$

p.189 $\uparrow 4$ $-\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \dots \Rightarrow = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \dots$

p.190 $\uparrow 5$ $\int_X \tilde{w}(x) \tilde{h}_\delta(x) \mu_{\langle u, v \rangle}(dx) \Rightarrow \int_X \tilde{w}(x) \mu_{\langle u, v \rangle}(dx)$

p.193 $\downarrow 6$ $= 2\ell \|f\|_\infty \dots \Rightarrow \leq 2\ell \|f\|_\infty \dots$

p.199 $\uparrow 10$ $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{B_\ell} = \infty \Rightarrow \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{B_\ell} = \zeta$

p.207 $\downarrow 11 \sim 14$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n_k-1} \Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1}$

p.207 $\uparrow 3$ $= \rho(x) \eta_i^{(1)}(dx) - \rho(x) \mu_{\langle M, M^{[x_i]} \rangle}^{(1)}(dx) - \dots \Rightarrow = \rho(x) \eta_i^{(1)}(dx) + \rho(x) \mu_{\langle M, M^{[x_i]} \rangle}^{(1)}(dx) - \dots$

p.208 $\downarrow 2$ $\mathcal{E}^{(2)}(u, v) \Rightarrow -\mathcal{E}^{(2)}(u, v)$

p.208 $\downarrow 5, 6$ $\dots + \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(u, v\rho) \Rightarrow \dots - \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(u, v\rho)$

p.208 $\downarrow 11$

$$= \int_D v \rho(x) \mu_{\langle M, M^{[x_i]} \rangle}^{(1)}(dx) + \dots \Rightarrow = - \int_D v \rho(x) \mu_{\langle M, M^{[x_i]} \rangle}^{(1)}(dx) + \dots$$

p.212 $\uparrow 4 \sim$ p.213 $\uparrow 11$ $\psi \Rightarrow \psi_\alpha$

p.213 $\uparrow 11$

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{d-1} \tilde{a}(r)} \exp \left(\int_{r_0}^r \psi(t) dt \right) \Rightarrow \int_{r_0}^r \frac{1}{s^{d-1} \tilde{a}(s)} \exp \left(\int_{r_0}^s \psi(t) dt \right) ds$$

p.218 $\uparrow 6 \quad \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(\tau)}(u_k(\tau, \cdot), u_k(\tau, \cdot)) \Rightarrow E_\alpha^{(\tau)}(u_k(\tau, \cdot), u_k(\tau, \cdot))$

p.225 (Lemma 6.2.3), p.227 (Theorem 6.2.4), p.229 (Lemma 6.2.5), p.270 (Theorem 6.2.6)

$\Rightarrow$ Add the assumption: There exists $T > 0$ such that $h(\tau, x) = 0$ for all $\tau \notin [0, T)$.

p.226 $\uparrow 3 \quad \|(u^{(n)} - u^{(n-1)})(\tau, \cdot)\|_H^2 - \|(u^{(n)} - u^{(n-1)})(T, \cdot)\|_H^2 \Rightarrow \|(u^{(n)} - u^{(n-1)})(\tau, \cdot)\|_H^2$

p.227 $\downarrow 1 \sim 2 \quad$ For any $\delta > 0, \dots < \delta$, this implies

$\Rightarrow$ This implies

p.227 $\downarrow 5 \sim 6 \quad \|u^{(n)} - u^{(n-1)}\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \leq \dots \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|u^{(n)} - u^{(n-1)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \dots$
 $\Rightarrow \|u^{(n)} - u^{(n-1)}\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \leq \dots \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (1 \vee (1/\alpha)) \|u^{(n)} - u^{(n-1)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \dots$

p.227 $\downarrow 10$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} ((u^{(n-1)} - h)^-(\tau, \cdot), \varphi) d\tau \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} ((u^{(n-1)} - h)^-(\tau, \cdot), \varphi) \xi(\tau) d\tau$$